

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

STACIA QUARTO,

Defendant.

No. CR17-0203-JCC

ORDER OF FORFEITURE

This matter comes before the Court on the United States' motion for an order of forfeiture seeking to forfeit to the United States Defendant Stacia Quarto's interest in the following property:

- A judgment for a sum of money in the amount of \$45,000, representing any property that constitutes or is derived from proceeds the Defendant obtained directly or indirectly as the result of the violation set forth in Count 1 of the Indictment.

Having reviewed the papers and pleadings filed in this matter, the Court hereby FINDS that entry of an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate because:

- Defendant was charged by an Indictment that included an allegation for forfeiture providing notice that the United States was seeking, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.

1 § 982(a)(2)(A), the forfeiture of property including, but not limited to, a sum of  
2 money of an amount representing any property that constitutes or is derived from  
3 proceeds Defendant obtained directly or indirectly as the result of the violation set  
4 forth in Count 1 of the Indictment (Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, in  
5 violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349) (Dkt. No. 48);

- 6 • On March 19, 2018, Defendant entered pled guilty to Counts 1 and 12 of the  
7 Indictment, charging, respectively: Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, in  
8 violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349; and Aggravated Identity Theft, in violation of 18  
9 U.S.C. § 1028A (Dkt. No. 136 at 1);
- 10 • In her Plea Agreement, Defendant acknowledged that she obtained at least  
11 \$45,000 in proceeds as a result of the bank fraud conspiracy charged in Count 1 of  
12 the Indictment (*Id.* at 6);
- 13 • Defendant agreed, pursuant to the Plea Agreement, to forfeit a sum of money in  
14 the amount of at least \$45,000, which represents any and all property that  
15 constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds Defendant obtained as the result of the  
16 violation charged in Count 1 of the Indictment (*Id.* at 9);
- 17 • The evidence in the record, including information contained within the Plea  
18 Agreement, has established the requisite nexus between the above-described sum  
19 of money and the offense of conviction, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal  
20 Procedure 32.2(b)(1)(B); and
- 21 • No ancillary proceeding is required to the extent that the forfeiture consists of a  
22 judgment for a sum of money representing proceeds obtained as the result of the  
23 charged offense, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(c)(1).

24 //

25 //

26 //

1 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

- 2 1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2)(A) and the Plea Agreement, Defendant's  
3 interest in a sum of money in the amount of \$45,000 is fully and finally forfeited,  
4 in its entirety, to the United States;  
5  
6 2. No right, title, or interest in the above-described sum of money exists in any party  
7 other than the United States;  
8  
9 3. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(b)(4)(A)–(B), this Order will  
10 become final as to Defendant at the time she is sentenced, will be made part of the  
11 sentence, and it will be included in the judgment;  
12  
13 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(e), in order to satisfy the  
14 judgment for the above-described sum of money of \$45,000 in whole or in part,  
15 the United States may move to amend this Order, at any time, to substitute  
16 property having a value not to exceed \$45,000; and  
17  
18 5. This Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing this  
19 Order.

20 The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to serve a copy of this order of forfeiture on all parties  
21 of record.

22 DATED this 31st day of July 2018.

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



John C. Coughenour  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE